

Supreme Court's Stand on Linguistic Secularism and Language Rights in India

March 17, 2025 • vajiramandravi.com



What's in Today's Article?

- Linguistic Secularism Debate Latest News
- Background
- Key Supreme Court Judgments on Language Policies
- Language Conflicts and Constitutional Provisions
- The National Education Policy (NEP) and Language Controversy
- Future Implications of Linguistic Secularism
- Linguistic Secularism in India FAQs

Linguistic Secularism Debate Latest News

- Tamil Nadu Chief Minister M.K. Stalin has accused the Centre of imposing Hindi through the National Education Policy at the cost of "totally destroying Tamil Nadu's progress in education".

Background

- The Supreme Court of India has played a crucial role in shaping language policies and upholding linguistic secularism, a principle that promotes **acceptance of the aspirations of different language speakers**.
- This was highlighted in the **2014 judgment of U.P. Hindi Sahitya Sammelan vs State of U.P.**, where the court emphasized that India's language laws are **not rigid but accommodative**, ensuring that linguistic diversity is preserved.
- As language debates continue, particularly in the context of the **National Education Policy (NEP) 2020**, the Supreme Court's past rulings reaffirm the importance of **federal pluralism and democratic sensitivity** in linguistic matters.

Key Supreme Court Judgments on Language Policies

- **U.P. Hindi Sahitya Sammelan vs State of U.P. (2014)**
 - The Supreme Court ruled that language laws in India evolve organically and should be accommodative rather than rigid.
 - It upheld the idea that linguistic secularism is necessary to maintain harmony in a multilingual country like India.
- **State of Karnataka vs Associated Management of Primary/Secondary Schools (2014)**
 - The court affirmed that the right to choose the medium of instruction is protected under Article 19 (Freedom of Speech & Expression).
 - The state cannot force students or parents to adopt a particular language for primary education.
- **Sunil K.R. Sahastrabudhey vs Director, IIT Kanpur (1982)**
 - The Allahabad High Court ruled that Article 351, which directs the Union government to promote Hindi, does not grant individuals the right to demand education in Hindi.
 - Institutions cannot be compelled to impart education in a specific language unless it aligns with broader national policies.
- These judgments highlight that India's language laws aim to protect individual linguistic freedoms while promoting inclusivity rather than imposing a single language.

Language Conflicts and Constitutional Provisions

- **Article 343 & The Official Language Debate**
 - **Article 343 of the Constitution** states that **Hindi in Devanagari script is the official language** of the Union.
 - However, Hindi **is not the national language**, as it is not spoken uniformly across all states.
 - The **Munshi-Ayyangar formula**, introduced during the Constituent Assembly debates in 1949, sought to **balance regional language interests while designating Hindi as the official language**.
- **Article 29(1) & Minority Language Rights**
 - This article provides **constitutional protection** for any group with a **distinct language, script, or culture**, ensuring they have the right to **conserve and promote their linguistic identity**.

- The Supreme Court has clarified that this right is applicable to **both majority and minority communities**, emphasizing **equal language rights for all citizens**.
- **Article 351 & The Promotion of Hindi**
 - Article 351 directs the government to promote Hindi **to develop it as a medium of expression for India's composite culture**.
 - However, courts have ruled that **this does not mean Hindi can be imposed upon individuals or institutions**.

The National Education Policy (NEP) and Language Controversy

- The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 has reignited the language debate.
- Critics, including Tamil Nadu **Chief Minister M.K. Stalin**, argue that the policy promotes Hindi at the cost of regional languages.
- The Supreme Court's past rulings reinforce that **no language should be imposed against the will of people**, as language is an emotional and cultural issue.
- Justice Krishna Iyer, in his remarks on the **216th Law Commission Report**, stated:
 - *"I am all for Hindi as a personal preference, but I am all against Hindi by compulsion. Linguistic militancy will alienate and divide, but federal pluralism is democratic sensitivity."*
- This highlights the importance of **voluntary language adoption** rather than **forced implementation**.

Future Implications of Linguistic Secularism

- Given the ongoing debates on language policies, the Supreme Court's principle of linguistic secularism will likely shape future policies in education and administration. Some key implications include:
 - **Greater Autonomy for States:** States will continue to have the right to determine language policies for education and administration based on local needs.
 - **Protection of Regional Languages:** The emphasis on linguistic secularism ensures that regional languages are preserved and not overshadowed by Hindi or English.
 - **Legal Challenges to Language Imposition:** Any attempt to impose a particular language could face legal scrutiny, as seen in previous court rulings.
- The courts will play a critical role in balancing national integration with linguistic diversity, ensuring that language policies remain inclusive and democratic.

Linguistic Secularism in India FAQs

Q1. What is linguistic secularism in India?

Ans. Linguistic secularism refers to the acceptance and protection of multiple languages, ensuring no single language is imposed on all citizens.

Q2. What did the Supreme Court rule in the U.P. Hindi Sahitya Sammelan case?

Ans. The court ruled that language laws in India must be organic, flexible, and accommodative to promote linguistic diversity.

Q3. Does the Indian Constitution allow the imposition of Hindi?

Ans. No, the Constitution recognizes Hindi as an official language, not a national language, and guarantees language rights to all citizens.

Q4. How does the NEP 2020 impact language policies in education?

Ans. NEP 2020 promotes multilingual education, but some states argue it indirectly encourages Hindi imposition over regional languages.

Q5. Can the government force schools to teach in a particular language?

Ans. No, the Supreme Court has ruled that students and parents have the right to choose the medium of instruction, and states cannot impose language mandates.

Source: TH

Source: <https://vajiramandravi.com/current-affairs/supreme-courts-stand-on-linguistic-secularism-language-rights-in-india/>

© Vajiram & Ravi. For personal use only.