

Daily Editorial Analysis 27 June 2025

June 27, 2025 • vajiramandravi.com

India's Giant Leap - Human Spaceflight and the Expanding Horizon of ISRO

Context:

- The participation of Indian astronaut **Shubhanshu Shukla** in the **Axiom-4 mission** to the International Space Station (**ISS**) marks a historic moment in India's space journey.
- It represents the **dawn of India's human spaceflight era** and signals a strategic leap in ISRO's ambitions and global collaborations.

The Milestone Moment - Shubhanshu Shukla at the ISS:

- **A symbol of a new era:**
 - Shubhanshu Shukla's presence aboard the ISS is symbolic not just of individual achievement but of **a national milestone in space exploration**.
 - Though not part of an indigenous mission, this represents the **operational beginning of India's human spaceflight programme**.
- **More than a first flight:** His journey is not an isolated feat but **a strategic stepping stone towards ISRO's Gaganyaan mission**, India's first crewed space mission, now scheduled for **2027**.

Strategic Importance of the Axiom-4 Participation:

- **Bridging the gap to Gaganyaan:**
 - Originally selected for the Indian crewed mission, Shubhanshu Shukla's participation in Axiom-4 **allows ISRO to gain first-hand exposure** to launch operations and astronautics.
 - It helps India **refine protocols, safety procedures, and training modules**.
- **International collaborations and learning:** ISRO sent **a team to assist in launch operations**, emphasizing India's active and competent role in global space ventures.

Global Context - Human Spaceflight Capability:

- **India joins the big league:**
 - Only three countries—**USA, Russia, and China**—have independent human spaceflight capability.
 - **India is on course to become the fourth**, bolstered by increasing cooperation with global agencies like NASA.

- **Strategic autonomy in space:**

- Without this capability, India would remain **dependent on foreign platforms** for critical space research and missions.
- Human spaceflight is **essential for planetary exploration**, especially for Moon and Mars missions.

Evolving Space Ecosystem and India's Role:

- **Rise of the private sector and LEO activity:**

- The Lower Earth Orbit (**LEO**), ranging from 200–2,000 km altitude, is **increasingly crowded with commercial satellites**.
- Private players, especially from the US, are driving innovation, **allowing national agencies to shift focus to deep space missions**.

- **India's vision beyond the ISS:**

- The **ISS**, a model of international cooperation, is slated for **decommissioning by 2030**.
- India, not a part of the current ISS consortium, plans to launch its **own space station by 2035**—possibly becoming **a critical player in the next global space platform**.

Technological and Institutional Developments:

- **Setting up indigenous astronaut training infrastructure:**

- Shubhanshu Shukla and others received **astronaut training in Russia and NASA**, exposing India's **lack of domestic facilities**.
- Their experience **paves the way** for India **to establish its own astronaut training centre**, with potential to **serve other countries commercially**.

- **Boost to R&D and strategic capabilities:**

- Human spaceflight and space stations enable **microgravity experiments**, vital for scientific progress.
- India's inclusion in future multinational space missions will provide **priority access to space-based research**.

Space Diplomacy, Global Partnerships and Geopolitical Implications:

- ISRO's growing stature has led to **enhanced India-US cooperation**, seen in India's role in Axiom-4.
- Human spaceflight capability gives India **bargaining power in future multilateral collaborations** in space.

Conclusion:

- Shubhanshu Shukla's flight is **more than a symbolic success**—it's the launchpad of a new era in Indian space exploration.
- As India sets sights on the Moon, Mars, and its own space station, **human spaceflight capability is emerging as a strategic asset**, enabling scientific advancement, national prestige, and global collaboration.

- This event marks **a significant inflection point** in India's transformation from a space-faring nation to **a space-power**.

India's Giant Leap - Human Spaceflight and the Expanding Horizon of ISRO FAQs

Q1. What is the significance of Shubhanshu Shukla's participation in the Axiom-4 mission for India's human spaceflight ambitions?

Ans. Shubhanshu Shukla's participation marks the operational beginning of India's human spaceflight programme, providing ISRO critical experiential insights for its upcoming Gaganyaan mission.

Q2. How does India's involvement in international space missions like Axiom-4 enhance its global space diplomacy?

Ans. India's participation in such missions strengthens strategic partnerships, notably with NASA, and increases its relevance in future multilateral space collaborations.

Q3. Why is human spaceflight capability strategically important for India's long-term space exploration goals?

Ans. Human spaceflight capability ensures strategic autonomy in deep space missions and positions India to participate in future lunar and Martian explorations.

Q4. What infrastructural gap was exposed during the training of Indian astronauts for Gaganyaan, and how can it be addressed?

Ans. India currently lacks an indigenous advanced astronaut training facility, which can be developed using insights from Shukla's and others' international training to build a self-reliant ecosystem.

Q5. How does the establishment of India's own space station align with the broader objectives of its space policy?

Ans. India's plan to build a space station by 2035 supports its goal of becoming a leading space power, fostering R&D in microgravity and enhancing national prestige and autonomy

Source: **IE**

The Israel-Iran Ceasefire — Managing the Blowback

Context

- The **recent cessation of hostilities between Israel and Iran**, as announced by U.S. President Donald Trump, marks a potential turning point.
- However, **despite the announcement of peace, the region remains mired in geopolitical ambiguity** and latent volatility.
- Unless the principal actors show strategic restraint, **the conclusion of this grim chapter may be as disruptive as its onset**.

Military Gains, Strategic Realignments & Iran's Future

• Military Gains and Strategic Realignments

- Over the past 21 months, **Israel, backed firmly by the United States, has achieved a string of military victories** that, while tactically impressive, may ultimately be pyrrhic.
- **Hamas has been significantly degraded in Gaza**, although some Israeli hostages remain in captivity.
- **Hezbollah in Lebanon**, once considered the most formidable non-state actor, **has been rendered ineffective**.
- **Syria's once-hostile al-Assad regime has been replaced** by a feeble Islamist government.
- Yemen's **Houthi rebels**, though initially disruptive, were **subdued by overwhelming force**.
- Most significantly, **Israel and the U.S. claim to have dismantled Iran's decades-long pursuit of nuclear capabilities**.
- In tandem with targeted assassinations of Iranian scientists and generals, **this marks a major blow to Tehran's regional ambitions**.
- While these military accomplishments have shifted regional power balances, **they have also stirred a hornet's nest of unresolved political questions**.

• Iran's Future: A Strategic Pivot

- The most pressing geopolitical question now is: **What lies ahead for Iran?** As the region's most populous and historically defiant state, Iran's trajectory will significantly influence West Asia's future.
- Despite enduring severe sanctions, economic hardship, and war, **Tehran remains a strategic fulcrum**.
- **Its ideological opposition to Israel** and the West, coupled with its network of proxy forces, **ensures its continued relevance in regional affairs**.
- There exists a delicate paradox: **while the victors officially eschew regime change in Tehran, the existence of the current Mullah-led government, unrepentant and ideologically rigid, presents an 'unfinished agenda' for Washington and Jerusalem**.
- **The aim now is to neutralise Iran's WMD ambitions** through sanctions and intrusive inspections.

The Dilemma of Regime Change

- The **notion of an externally enforced regime change in Iran is fraught with risk** and the failures of similar attempts in Iraq and Afghanistan are cautionary tales.
- **Iran's deeply rooted political structure**, its nationalist sentiment, and the fractious ethnic composition of its population render **a direct intervention unfeasible and potentially disastrous**.
- Instead, **a subtler approach is likely**, an attempt to internally reorient the regime. **However, this is easier said than done**.
- The **Revolutionary Guards and radical clergy hold substantial power**, with Supreme Leader Ayatollah Ali Khamenei, now 86, favouring the status quo.
- **The succession race**, likely between Khamenei's son Mojtaba and Hassan Khomeini (grandson of the Islamic Republic's founder), **is crucial**.
- **Mojtaba represents ideological continuity**, while Khomeini may herald a pragmatic reset.

- **This transition, uncharted for over three decades, carries profound implications** for both Iran and the broader region.

The Compounded Crisis of Gaza

- Amid the larger geopolitical theatre, **the Israel-Palestine conflict remains unresolved and increasingly desperate.**
- The **recent war has further complicated matters, with Gaza** facing mass starvation and staggering civilian casualties.
- **The West Bank, too, is destabilising under settler violence** and a stagnating Palestinian Authority under the aged Mahmoud Abbas.
- **There is concern that the U.S. administration may attempt to resurrect its controversial plan to deport Gazans** and repurpose the territory into a commercial 'international riviera'- a vision that is both ethically fraught and politically implausible.
- **Post-war trauma has left Gazans in a survivalist limbo,** making long-term planning nearly impossible.

The Way Ahead: A Moment of Reckoning for West Asia

- This moment offers West Asia a rare opportunity. **Should Iran, Israel, and the U.S. resist the temptations of triumphalism** and instead embrace moderation, **the region could move toward a more peaceful, stable future.**
- The **benefits are tangible: lower oil prices, stabilised logistics,** and reduced extremism and terrorism.
- Conversely, **failure to consolidate peace could plunge the region back into the familiar cycle of violence** punctuated by fragile truces.
- The **path forward demands statesmanship over brinkmanship, negotiation over domination, and vision over vengeance.**

Conclusion

- If the major actors, Israel, Iran, and the United States, choose moderation over triumphalism, and focus on rebuilding over posturing, **a new era of peace and economic cooperation could emerge.**
- **Stability in West Asia would bring global benefits:** lower oil prices, reduced radicalisation, and improved international trade logistics.
- However, **if the past is prologue, there is a risk that the region could revert to its familiar cycle of violence and uneasy ceasefires.**

The Israel-Iran Ceasefire — Managing the Blowback FAQs

Q1. When did the conflict begin?

Ans. The conflict began on October 7, 2023, when Hamas launched its Operation Toofan al-Aqsa against Israel.

Q2. What major loss did Iran face in the war?

Ans. Iran suffered significant losses, including the destruction of its nuclear infrastructure and much of its missile force.

Q3. Who are the potential successors to Iran's Supreme Leader?

Ans. The likely successors to Iran's Supreme Leader are his son, Mojtaba Khamenei, and Hassan Khomeini, the grandson of Ayatollah Khomeini.

Q4. What crisis is ongoing in Gaza?

Ans. Gaza is facing a severe humanitarian crisis, marked by widespread starvation and high civilian casualties due to continued Israeli attacks.

Q5. What is the preferred approach for change in Iran?

Ans. The preferred approach is a gradual internal reform of the current regime rather than an externally imposed regime change.

Source : **The Hindu**

Fathoming America's Plan to Manage AI Proliferation

Context

- The United States has withdrawn its AI export control plan called the Framework for AI Diffusion. This move is seen as positive. The framework was considered harmful to AI growth and global relations.
- However, new developments show that AI controls will still continue, but in different ways.

AI Diffusion Framework

- In its final days, the Biden administration introduced the AI Diffusion Framework, treating AI like nuclear technology.
- It imposed export controls and licenses, favoring allies and restricting adversaries like China and Russia.
- **Rationale Behind the Framework**
 - The U.S. believed that computational power drives AI strength.
 - To maintain its edge, it aimed to limit adversaries' access to powerful compute while centralizing AI development within allied nations.
- **Counterproductive Impact of the Framework**
 - While trying to tighten controls, the Framework unintentionally harmed global cooperation, even among allies.
 - It prompted partners to seek independence from the U.S. tech ecosystem, undermining trust and collaboration.
- **Mischaracterization of AI as Military Tech**
 - AI is primarily a civilian technology with military applications, unlike nuclear tech.
 - Treating it as a defense tool restricted innovation, which is international and collaborative by nature.
- **Innovation Driven by Restriction**
 - Tight controls encouraged alternative innovations.

- China's DeepSeek R1 is a result of such restrictions, achieving top-tier AI performance with less compute, reducing the effectiveness of export controls.

- **Revocation and the Road Ahead**

- The Trump administration revoked the framework due to its flaws.
- This benefits countries like India, which were disadvantaged.
- However, the U.S. approach to controlling AI diffusion, especially towards China, is expected to continue in new forms.

The Possible Replacement

- **Continued Efforts Despite Framework Withdrawal**

- Although the AI Diffusion Framework has been rescinded, the U.S. continues to tighten controls on Chinese access to AI chips.

- **Expansion of Export Controls**

- In March 2025, the U.S. broadened export restrictions and added more companies to its blacklist, reinforcing efforts to limit AI chip access.

- **Hardware-Based Monitoring Measures**

- The administration is considering new on-chip features to monitor and restrict AI chip usage, targeting functionality and specific applications.
- New U.S. legislation proposes built-in location tracking in AI chips to prevent their diversion to countries like China and Russia.

- **Shift from Trade to Technological Enforcement**

- Rather than relying solely on trade restrictions, the U.S. now aims to achieve the framework's goals through technological controls embedded in AI hardware.

Emerging Concerns with New Control Measures

- Technologically enforced AI controls raise issues of ownership, privacy, and surveillance.
- These measures may deter legitimate users while failing to stop malicious actors.

- **Undermining Trust and Autonomy**

- Such controls reduce user autonomy and create trust deficits.
- Nations—even allies—may fear losing strategic autonomy and seek alternatives to U.S.-based AI systems.

- **Tactical Shift, Not Strategic Change**

- The withdrawal of the AI Diffusion Framework reflects a tactical adjustment, not a change in the core U.S. strategy to control AI proliferation.

- **Risk of Repeating Past Mistakes**

- If technologically-driven controls are fully adopted, they could reproduce the same harmful outcomes as the rescinded framework, weakening global trust in U.S. leadership.

Conclusion: A Missed Opportunity for Strategic Reflection

- Persisting with control-based policies signals that the U.S. has not fully absorbed the lessons of the Framework's failure, potentially undermining its global AI leadership.

Source: <https://vajiramandravi.com/current-affairs/daily-editorial-analysis-27-june-2025/>

© Vajiram & Ravi. For personal use only.